UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. <u>4-19-70600</u> MAG
Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEED TRIBED
V. Jerry Beltram Defendant(s).	AUG 23 2019
For the reasons stated by the parties on the re Trial Act from $\frac{g/23/2011}{to 9/2011}$ to $\frac{9/23}{to 10}$ to $\frac{9/23}{to 10}$ to $\frac{9/23}{to 10}$ continuance outweigh the best interest of the 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding	SUSANY. SOONG SUSANY. SOONG CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT of CALFORNIA AND THERN DISTRICT OF CALFORNIA AND THE CHERK U.S. DISTRICT OF CALFORNIA AND THE CHERK U.S. DISTRICT OF CALFORNIA AND THE CALFORNIA AND THE CHERK U.S. DISTRICT OF CALFORNIA AND THE CHERK U.S. DISTRICT OF CALFORNIA AND THE CALFORNIA A
Failure to grant a continuance See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)	would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. (i).
defendants, the nature of law, that it is unreasonable	omplex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial tablished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
-	would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, se of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
·	would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, giver e commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (iv).
	would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time ation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (iv).
disposition of criminal cases, t paragraph and — based on the the time limits for a preliminar extending the 30-day time peri	lant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending ry hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for iod for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the e Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 23, 20	Elizabeth D. Laporte United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defenda	Assistant United States Attorney